Grower Ratinas from SWD Workshop in Syracuse, December 2014

	Grower Ratings from SWD Workshop in Syracuse, December 2014	2014
Rank	SWD Research Priorities	Rating
1	Know what to do - recommendations for next season	93.27
2	New chemicals	91.54
3	Early detection monitoring tools	89.46
4	Biological control	88.27
5	Insecticide resistance management	87.88
6	Insecticide application technology	86.20
7	Curative control - systemic insecticides - kill maggot	85.80
8	Optimize use of insecticides (# applications, etc.)	85.38
9	Behavioral control (repellants, attract & kill, mass trapping, push/pull, trap crops)	85.19
10	Treatment thresholds	85.00
11	Life cycle research and DD to better time control management	83.80
12	Spray materials - season long - ovicide materials	82.88
13	Resistant varieties	82.20
14	Identify characteristics of firm fruit for SWD oviposition and of fruit after oviposition/time when fruit softens	82.00
15	Overwintering biology	80.80
16	Full insecticide screening	80.63
17	Optimizing sampling and management practices	80.00
18	SWD genetic control	80.00
19	Feeding stimulants - synergists - adjuvants	79.48
20	Cultural control	79.23
21	Insecticide residue degradation and modeling (weathering properties, rainfastness)	78.40
22	Early season hosts and refugia	76.30
23	Developmental models - predictions, validation	75.00
24	Life cycle and ecology	74.62
25	Identification of host-plant volatiles	74.62
26	Host resistance mechanisms	73.00
27	Symbionts associated with SWD (fungi, bacteria, spirochetes, etc.)	72.71
28	Variety preferences	71.40
29	Damage to different crops (other than berries)	70.21
30	Collaborations with researchers from other countries	70.00
31	Dispersal and migration / population genetics	69.81
32	Landscape ecology - better understanding	69.60
	Role of ground cover management	69.23
34	Sanitation	63.96
35	Organic research - materials	62.31
36	Mechanisms for post harvest treatment, ie, defect sorting etc.	61.40
37	Exclusion for small growers	60.24
38	Post-harvest management - packing houses	59.40
39	Mechanical control in protected culture	56.00

		2014
Rank	SWD Extension Priorities	Rating
1	Develop recommendations for 2015 as part of an IPM program	95.58
2	Education on monitoring, fields/berries, SWD identification	90.96
3	Preparation for next year	90.63
4	Continue working group, networking	89.44
5	Bring educational resources together for grower use	88.27
6	Grower education of full impact of problem and research efforts	85.80
7	IPM for invasive species, as well as detection	85.37
8	Allow for unique properties of each insecticide in recommendations and management programs	81.67
9	Training on invasive species	80.00

10	Grower awareness of problem and post harvest treatment	79.81
11	Info on sprayer technology	79.20
12	Train consultants	78.46
13	Distinguish between large and small acreage grower needs	76.80
14	Establish a clearing house for information - international; distill in user friendly form for SWD IPM	70.19
15	Call for summit: ext, research, govt, regulatory, policy, APHIS (national statement), industry (grower, chem)	68.20
16	Look at international education efforts	65.60
17	Overhead chemigation information	60.80
18	Education of master gardeners	59.20

		2014
Rank	SWD Regulatory Priorities	Rating
1	New chemicals	90.20
2	As short as feasible PHI across crops	76.36
3	Est. a working group - industry, growers, extension, for economic impact	74.23
4	Pesticide container size in small amounts	71.20
5	National sec 18 system and 2ee, expand 'me too'	70.91
6	Labels for chemigation	70.83
7	OMRI clearance of materials	69.79
8	Est. MRL's for export markets	54.17

		2014
Rank	SWD Education Priorities	Rating
1	Educating policy makers/legislators/regulators on invasives/impacts	93.27
2	Consumer education	81.40
3	Info. for growers to respond to public on SWD or media [US Highbush council]	80.74
4	Company education on labeling and crop uses	77.80
5	Engaging/joining IPM voice	75.00
6	Media kit [use BMSB as example]	72.92