Reducing synthetic chemical use to optimize pest management and crop production: A case study of onion thrips in onion

Brian Nault

Professor and Program Leader, Department of Entomology

Cornell University AgriTech



Northeastern IDN/ Center

April 11, 2024



United States National Institute Department of Agriculture Agriculture



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Webinar Details







Live Transcription

A recording of this webinar will be available within a week at http://www.neipmc.org/go/ipmtoolbox



We Welcome Your Questions

Please submit a question <u>at any time</u> using the Q&A feature to your right at any time If you'd like to ask a question anonymously, please indicate that at the beginning of your query.

> Northeastern IPM Center

Webinar Presenter

Brian Nault

Professor and Program Leader Department of Entomology Cornell University AgriTech





Cornell AgriTech

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Some Questions for You

Northeastern IPM Center



Reducing synthetic chemical use to optimize pest management and crop production: A case study of onion thrips in onion

Northeastern IPM Toolbox Webinar April 11, 2024

Brian A. Nault

Department of Entomology <u>ban6@cornell.edu</u> <u>http://nault.entomology.cornell.edu/</u>

Cornell AgriTech

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station



Where is Cornell AgriTech?



Cornell AgriTech

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Departments/Units & Partners – Entomology, Food Science, Horticulture, Plant Breeding & Genetics, Plant Pathology and Plant Microbe Biology; New York State IPM Program; USDA Plant Genetics Research Unit; USDA Grape Genetics Research Unit



Our mission is to create future food and agriculture systems by working across disciplines to explore questions from all sides and translate our discoveries into practical solutions that help growers and businesses thrive

Our focus is on specialty crops – tree fruit, small fruit, grapes, vegetables, hops, hemp, shrub willow, turf

Cornell Vegetable Entomology



Goal

Solve insect pest problems faced by the vegetable crop industry



Objectives

- Study biology and ecology of insect pests
- Develop management programs for the pests



Implement management programs for the pests

















Case study: Onion thrips management in onion using fewer chemical inputs









Onion thrips (*Thrips tabaci* Lindeman)

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.)

Synthetic chemicals

Cornell AgriTech New York State Agricultural Experiment Station



Onion thrips and pathogens it transmits are major threats to the onion industry



Annual losses from thrips damage in U.S. ~ \$90 million

Insecticide use for thrips control in U.S. adds ~ \$12.5 million/yr





Thrips Damage

Damage by Iris yellow spot virus



Gent et al. (2006) Plant Dis.

OUTLINE

- I. Overview of onion production, onion thrips biology and its damage
- II. Onion thrips management chemical control
- III. Onion thrips management cultural control
- IV. Conclusions







USDA NASS New York Ag Stats Bulletin 2021-2022

Elba Muck Area = 5,979 acres

- 24

Photo: Erik Smith



Muck soil

20-80% organic matter (Wilson and Townsend 1931)
Substantial nutrient availability for plant growth (Haynes 2012)

Photo: Brian Nault



Challenges of growing onions in drained swamps



Photo: Brian Nault

Challenges of growing onions in droughts



Photo: Brian Nault

Renake





Onion thrips, Thrips tabaci Lindeman

Cornell AgriTech

Photo: Isabella Yannuzzi

Onion thrips distribution

- Polyphagous pest with a host range that includes hundreds of plant species
- > Major pest of onion worldwide









Onion thrips is a major pest of onion

Onion thrips adults and larvae on onion plants







Onion thrips is a major pest of onion

Feeding on foliage can reduce bulb weights 30-60%

Nault and Huseth (2016) *J. Econ. Entomol.* Leach et al. (2017) *Agric. Ecosys. Environ*.







Onion thrips is a major pest of onion

Spread viral, bacterial and fungal pathogens

Gent et al. (2006) *Plant Dis*. Dutta et al. (2014) *Phytopathology* Leach et al. (2020) *Ann Appl Biol*.







Onion thrips life cycle



Onion thrips populations

- Thrips adults overwinter in soil
- Emerge in late April and May
- Colonize onion fields via other hosts



Larentzaki et al. (2007) J. Econ. Entomol.; Hsu et al. (2011) Plant Dis.

Onion thrips populations





Onion thrips populations





Foliar-applied insecticides used for control





Foliar-applied insecticides used for control





Foliar-applied insecticides used for control







New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Integrated Pest Management





Integrated Pest Management





OUTLINE

- I. Onion production, onion thrips biology and its damage
- II. Onion thrips management chemical control
- III. Onion thrips management cultural control
- IV. Conclusions



Reducing insecticide use to manage thrips

Approach:

- Identify effective insecticides and assist in registration
- Develop an insecticide-based program that optimizes insecticide use and mitigates resistance
- Implement insecticide-based program and measure success


> Effective insecticides identified in 2005 and then registered



Carzol SP (formetanate hydrochloride)



Spintor[®] 480SC (spinosad)



Product Name	Chemical Name	IRAC class	Restrictions for thrips
Agri-Mek'SC	abamectin	6	<u>2 sequential applications</u> then rotate to
			another class
	cyantraniliprole	28	<u>2 sequential applications then rotate to another class</u>
Lannate [™]	methomyl	1 A	Do not make more than 8 applications
Minecto [®] Pro	abamectin + cyantraniliprole	6 + 28	<u>2 sequential applications only</u>
ΜΟΛΕΝΤΟ	spirotetramat	23	<u>2 sequential applications only</u>
Senstar	spirotetramat + pyriproxyfen	23 + 7C	2 applications only
Radiant®SC	spinetoram	5	2 sequential applications then rotate to another class
	lambda-cyhalothrin	3	Do not make more than 8 applications

Develop an onion thrips management plan based on IRM principles

Major Principles



- 1) Limit use of each insecticide class
- 2) Rotate insecticide classes (sequence)
- 3) Action thresholds
- 4) Combine with other management tactics



https://irac-online.org/documents/moa-classification/

Sequence of effective insecticides





Economic Injury Level (EIL): season mean avg. 2.2 larvae/ leaf Fournier et al. (1995) J. Econ Entomol.

Action thresholds developed for commonly used insecticides; 1 thrips/leaf most preferred Nault & Shelton (2010) J.

Econ. Entomol.





Combined insecticide sequence & action thresholds





Photo: Karly Regan

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Cornell AgriTech

Objective

 Compare thrips control and marketable bulb yield using an action-threshold based insecticide program vs. standard weekly insecticide program



Objective

 Compare thrips control and marketable bulb yield using an action-threshold based insecticide program vs. standard weekly insecticide program

Hypothesis

 Thrips control and marketable yield in onions treated following the action-threshold program will be the same as those following the standard insecticide program





Ashley Leach

Action-threshold based program vs. Standard weekly program





3 cultivars ('Avalon', 'Delgado', 'Bradley') 2 years



Photo: Brian Nault

Dependent Variable: Season mean # thrips larvae per leaf



Photo: Brian Nault

Dependent Variable: Bulb size and weight





> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly



Leach et al. (2017) Agric., Ecosys. & Environ.

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly



Leach et al. (2017) Agric., Ecosys. & Environ.

New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly

Cultivar	Year	AT-based applications	Standard weekly applications	Reduction
Avalon			7	
Delgado	2015		7	
Bradley			7	
Avalon			6	
Delgado	2016		6	
Bradley			6	



SPRAYS



> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly

Cultivar	Year	AT-based applications	Standard weekly applications	Reduction
Avalon		3.7	7	48%
Delgado	2015	4.7	7	33%
Bradley		3.7	7	48%
Avalon		3	6	50%
Delgado	2016	4	6	33%
Bradley		3.3	6	46%



SPRAYS



> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly





> Comparison between insecticide programs: Action threshold (AT) vs. Standard weekly



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Hypothesis

 Thrips control and marketable yield in onions treated following the action-threshold program will be the same as those following the standard insecticide program





Cornell AgriTech

1.



oto: Brian Nault

CONTRACT & BANYING





Version 1.0







Version 1.0

Version 10.0





Onion thrips infestation

Objective

 Evaluate onion grower adoption of the Cornell Onion Thrips Management Guidelines for Onion (=insecticide rotation and action thresholds)



Objective

 Evaluate onion grower adoption of the Cornell Onion Thrips Management Guidelines for Onion (=insecticide rotation and action thresholds)

Hypothesis

 Onion growers will increase their adoption of <u>rotating</u> insecticide classes and <u>using action thresholds</u>



Implement insecticide-based program and measure success







Christy Hoepting



Ashley Leach



Baseline survey results for New York onion grower insecticide use and IRM practices

Factor	2014 survey results (n=17)	
Insecticide applications made using proper <u>class rotation</u>	76%	
Insecticide applications made following <u>action thresholds</u>	57%	
Frequency of insecticide applications per field	1 per week	
Savings in insecticide costs per acre	-	

Leach, Hoepting & Nault (2019) Pest Manag Sci.



Four major onion-producing counties targeted for onion thrips management plan adoption



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Four major onion-producing counties targeted for onion thrips management plan adoption



Final results of New York onion growers adoption of the onion thrips management plan

Factor	2014 survey results (n=17)	2017 post-project results (n= 17)
Insecticide applications made using proper <u>class rotation</u>	76%	100%
Insecticide applications made following <u>action thresholds</u>	57%	82%
Frequency of insecticide applications per field	1 per week	2-4 less/season 📕
Savings in insecticide costs per acre	-	\$60

Leach, Hoepting & Nault (2019) Pest Manag Sci.

Cornell AgriTech

Hypothesis

 Onion growers will increase their adoption of <u>rotating</u> insecticide classes and <u>using action thresholds</u>







Onion growers from Elba Muck honored with 2019 NYS IPM Award primarily for adoption of the onion thrips management plan



- Reasons for adoption
 #1 <u>mitigate insecticide</u> resistance, not to save money
 #2 - regular interactive meetings with growers to build trust
- No insecticide resistance to newer products



Questions

Northeastern

Center








Reducing insecticide use to manage thrips





SAES-422 Multistate Research Project W-1008 "Biology and Management of IYSV and Thrips"



Impact of fertilizer on onion thrips

Onion thrips populations in onion were significantly lower (~25%) when less fertilizer was applied



Cornell AgriTech

Modified from Buckland et al. (2013) Agric. Ecosys. Environ.

OUTLINE

- I. Onion production, onion thrips biology and its damage
- II. Onion thrips management chemical control
- III. Onion thrips management cultural control
- IV. Conclusions



Approach:

- Identify impact of reducing fertilizer on onion thrips populations and bulb yield
- Determine optimal amount of fertilizer that will reduce onion thrips infestations, but not bulb yield
- Implement fertilizer reduction program and measure success





- \succ Nitrogen (N) (100 to 125 lbs/acre) or (112 to 140 kg/ha)
- \rightarrow **Phosphorus** (P) (50 to 150 lbs/acre) or (56 to 168 kg/ha)
- \rightarrow **Potassium** (K) (50 to 150 lbs/acre) or (56 to 168 kg/ha)
- > Typical ingredients in custom-blended fertilizer mix
 - Copper (0-0-0-12CU)

Cornell University

- Manganese Oxy-Sulfate (0-0-0-18.5S-32Mn)
- Ammonium Sulfate (12-0-0-24S)
- K-Mag (0-0-22-22S)
- Monoammonium Phosphate (11-52-0)
- Potassium Chloride (0-0-60)
- Urea (44-0-0)





Objective

 Compare thrips densities and marketable bulb yield using reduced rates of fertilizer vs. the standard fertilizer program



Objective

 Compare thrips densities and marketable bulb yield using reduced rates of fertilizer vs. the standard fertilizer program

Hypothesis

 Thrips densities in onions grown using reduced rates of fertilizer will be lower than those following the standard fertilizer program, but without a reduction in yield







≻20 Commercial onion
fields across central and
western New York from
2019 through 2021







Identify impact of reducing fertilizer on onion thrips populations and bulb yield



Weekly visual assessments of thrips densities on 4-8 farms over 3 years (n=20)



Assessed bulb yield at harvest





New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Regan & Nault (2022) Agronomy



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Regan & Nault (2022) Agronomy

Hypothesis

 Thrips densities in onions grown using reduced rates of fertilizer will be lower than those following the standard fertilizer program, but without a reduction in yield









New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

> Fertilizer treatments did <u>not</u> impact marketable yield!



> Fertilizer treatments did <u>not</u> impact marketable yield!



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

Demonstration trial on commercial farm – onion growers could NOT distinguish between zero, half and full fertilizer



Karly Regan



Muck soil

20-80% organic matter (Wilson and Townsend 1931)
Substantial nutrient availability for plant growth (Haynes 2012)

Photo: Brian Nault



Implement reduced fertilizer program and measure success; timing was perfect

VEGETABLE

Christy Hoepting

Cornell AgriTech

Photo: Brian Nault



Amount of N fertilizer reduced based in part from our research

Location (acres)	Before	After	
	Project	Project	Reduction
Elba (120 acres)	122 lbs/acre	86 lbs/acre	30%
Wayne Co. (75 acres)	115 lbs/acre	90 lbs/acre	22%
Oswego Co. (200 acres)	140 lbs/acre	60 lbs/acre	57%
Oswego Co. (230 acres)	100 lbs/acre	80 lbs/acre	20%

> No perceived reductions in bulb yield or bulb size



Hoepting (unpublished)

➢Onion grower testimonial (Big "O" Farms, Elba, NY) on 10/26/23

Factor	Before Project	After Project
Onions grown on farms	2,150 acres ¹	2,150 acres ¹
Fertilizer (N-P-K) applied		
% reduction in fertilizer		
Total amount of fertilizer reduced on farm		
Total \$US saved on fertilizer costs on farm		

¹Total acres: 1,300 acres transplanted + 800 acres seeded; <u>29% of total onion acreage in New York State</u>



➢Onion grower testimonial (Big "O" Farms, Elba, NY) on 10/26/23

Factor	Before Project	After Project
Onions grown on farms	2,150 acres ¹	2,150 acres ¹
Fertilizer (N-P-K) applied	1,000 lbs/acre	350-400 lbs/acre (transplants) 750-800 lbs/acre (seeded)
% reduction in fertilizer	_	44-49%
Total amount of fertilizer reduced on farm	-	950,000 to 1,057,000 lbs/yr
Total \$US saved on fertilizer costs on farm	_	\$420,000 to \$470,000/yr

¹Total acres: 1,300 acres transplanted + 800 acres seeded; <u>29% of total onion acreage in New York State</u>





New York State Agricultural Experiment Station



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station

OUTLINE

- I. Onion production, onion thrips biology and its damage
- II. Onion thrips management chemical control
- III. Onion thrips management cultural control

IV. Conclusions



Conclusions

Onion thrips management is still reliant on insecticide use...other tactics have not been promising





Conclusions

Onion thrips management is still reliant on insecticide use...other tactics have not been promising



Both insecticide and fertilizer inputs can be reduced substantially without negatively impacting onion yield!



Acknowledgements

Cornell University

Christy Hoepting Ashley Leach, PhD Karly Regan, PhD Steve Reiners, PhD Tony Shelton, PhD (Many research assistants)



Onion growers

- Joe DiSalvo
- Eric Johnson
- Mike Johnson
- Matt Mortellaro
- Guy & Peter Smith
- David Sorbello
- Max Torrey

Funding:

- USDA/ NIFA Specialty Crops Research Initiative
- Federal Capacity Funds
- NY Ag and Markets Specialty Crops Block Grant
- New York Farm Viability Institute
- New York Onion Research & Development Program



United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture







THE END

Photo: Brian Nault

Questions

Northeastern IPM Center



New York State Agricultural Experiment Station



Some Questions for you

Northeastern IPM Center



Upcoming Webinars

https://www.northeastipm.org/ipm-in-action/the-ipm-toolbox/



United States National Institute Department of of Food and Agriculture Agriculture



Find a Colleague

To post a profile about yourself and your work: <u>http://neipmc.org/go/APra</u>

"Find a Colleague" site http://neipmc.org/go/colleagues



United States National Institute Department of of Food and Agriculture Agriculture


Recording of IPM Toolbox Webinar Series







Past recordings and today's Webinar will be available to view **on demand** in a few business days. http://www.neipmc.org/go/ipmtoolbox

You can watch as often as you like.



United States National Institute Department of of Food and Agriculture Agriculture



Land Acknowledgment

The Northeastern IPM Center is based at Cornell University in Ithaca, New York.

Cornell University is located on the traditional homelands of the Gayogohó:nọ' (the Cayuga Nation). The Gayogohó:nọ' are members of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy, an alliance of six sovereign Nations with a historic and contemporary presence on this land. The Confederacy precedes the establishment of Cornell University, New York state, and the United States of America. We acknowledge the painful history of Gayogohó:nọ' dispossession, and honor the ongoing connection of Gayogohó:nọ' people, past and present, to these lands and waters.

This land acknowledgment has been reviewed and approved by the traditional Gayogohó:no' leadership.





United States National Institute Department of Food and Agriculture Agriculture

Funding Acknowledgment

Northeastern IDN Center

USDA Departmen Agriculture

United States National Institute Department of of Food and Agriculture Agriculture This presentation was funded by the Northeastern IPM Center through Grant #2022-70006-38004, Accession Number: 1017389 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Crop Protection and Pest Management, Regional Coordination Program.